

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

Security-4-1

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Executive Registry

81-4456

Freedom of Information Act Requests

FROM:

Harry E. Fitzwater
Deputy Director for Administration
7D 24 Hqs

EXTENSION

NO DDA 81-1237

DATE 10 June 1981

STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

STAT

1. Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

7D 6011 Hqs

6/11

This topic was discussed at the Tuesday morning staff meeting. Mr. Hart offered to verify the OGC position on release of this information.

2.

3. Director of Central Intelligence

7D 5607 Hqs

Rec'd 11 June 1981

WPC/deg

Harry E. Fitzwater

STAT

4.

Att

5.

DDA

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

FORM 1-79

610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

DDIA REGISTRY

FILE: Security-4-1

Freedom of Information Act Requests

Harry E. Fitzwater
Deputy Director for Administration
7D 24 Hqs

DDA 81-1237

☐ 10 June 1981

Deputy Director of Central
Intelligence
7D 6011 Hqs

This topic was discussed at
the Tuesday morning staff meeting.
Mr. Hart offered to verify the OGC
position on release of this
information.

Harry

Director of Central
Intelligence
7D 5607 Hqs

Harry E. Fitzwater

Att

ADDA:WNHart:kmg (10 Jun 81)

Distribution:

- Orig RS - DCI via DDCI w/Orig Att
- 1 - ER w/cy of Att
- ✓ 1 - DDA Subj w/cy of Att
- 1 - DDA Chrono
- 1 - WNH Chrono
- 1 - HEF Chrono

DDIA REGISTRY
81-1237

REQUEST BY THE CENTER FOR NATIONAL SECURITY STUDIES
FOR NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REQUESTERS

By telephone the Center for National Security Studies, headed by Horton Halperin, indicated their intent to request in writing the names, addresses, and subjects of previous Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests. The Center intends to mail past and present FOIA requesters a questionnaire and survey their responses. The information would be compiled and used in the forthcoming Congressional hearings on possible legislative relief for the intelligence agencies.

Based upon previous guidance from the Office of General Counsel, the Agency has released similar data to other requesters. In a previous case, OGC counseled that privacy interests were outweighed by the public's right to the information requested. They indicated that the only possible exemption which could apply would be the (b)(6)* exemption, but, that this exemption was not applicable. The Agency will be hard pressed to deny the requested information to the Center For National Security Studies.

* The (b)(6) exemption permits the withholding of information which pertains to personnel and medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

BEST COPY
AVAILABLE

REQUEST BY THE CENTER FOR NATIONAL SECURITY STUDIES
FOR NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REQUESTERS

By telephone the Center for National Security Studies, headed by Morton Halperin, indicated their intent to request in writing the names, addresses, and subjects of previous Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests. The Center intends to mail past and present FOIA requesters a questionnaire and survey their responses. The information would be compiled and used in the forthcoming Congressional hearings on possible legislative relief for the intelligence agencies.

Based upon previous guidance from the Office of General Counsel, the Agency has released similar data to other requesters. In a previous case, OGC counseled that privacy interests were outweighed by the public's right to the information requested. They indicated that the only possible exemption which could apply would be the (b)(6)* exemption, but, that this exemption was not applicable. The Agency will be hard pressed to deny the requested information to the Center For National Security Studies.

- * The (b)(6) exemption permits the withholding of information which pertains to personnel and medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.